

Application No 10/715,026  
Reply to Action of May 2, 2006

Attorney Docket: 2058-01

**REMARKS**

Applicant fully complies with the examiner's teaching without arguments. Accordingly, no arguments are presented against claims 1-4 rejected under 37 U.S.C. §112 and §102.

Examiner allowed claims 6-8. Out of the allowed claims, claim 6 has been labeled in this response as "(previously presented)" followed by the entire claim paragraph previously presented. Crossed-out parts are deleted and underlined parts are presented without underlines.

The allowed claims 6-7 had been newly added in the previous response and remain unchanged in this current response.

No changes have been made to the claims in this current amendment.

No new matters are included in this amendment.

Applicant now respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance for claims 6-8 be duly issued for this application.

Respectfully submitted,

IPLA P.A.

Date: August 1, 2005

/James E. Bame/  
James E. Bame  
Regis. No. 44521  
Tel: 213-637-5633